**Lab Report Rubric** **Group grade \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ + Individual points \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

 **Period \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Abstract 5pts** Name\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | **5 points**Concise well written, includes information from the procedure, results and conclusion  | **4-3 points**Limited information, contains some but not all of the parts.  | **3-2 points**Poorly written missing parts of the abstract.  |
| **Intro 5 pts**Name \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Concise/well writtenBackground infoProblem HypothesisCite Material (at least 3 sources) | Limited backgroundMisplaced infoIncorrect info | Poorly writtenBackground missing orlimited  |
| **Procedure 5 pts**Name \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Describes procedureDescribes materialDescribes controlsIdentifies variablesConcise/Well written | Not in paragraph formatPoorly writtenMisplaced info | No variablesNo controlsMuch info missingMuch info incorrect |
| **Results 5 pts**Name \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Organized in chartGraphs/figures if neededLabeled/unitsEasy to interpretTitles/figures/legends  | Not well organizedMissing labels/units | No chartNo dataNo labelsDisorganized |
| **Conclusions/Discussions****5 Pts**Name \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Support/reject hypothesisSupporting data described(Claim-evidence-reasoning)Concise/well-writtenNew learning describedImprove experiment or confidencePossible source of error described (Not human errors) | Limited evidenceUnsupported statements | No hypothesis statedNo supporting evidence |